

**Rave-Perkins, Krista**

---

**From:** Red Brick Road <redbrickrd@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 24, 2017 4:17 PM  
**To:** Kimberly.claussen@kingcounty.gov; Pederson, Jon; john.starbard@kingcounty.gov; Rave-Perkins, Krista; Casey.Costello@dfw.wa.gov; rebekah.padgett@ecy.wa.gov  
**Subject:** RE: Gunshy Manor restoration issues

Oh, and one more thing.

We're seeing heavy machinery operated by Cascade Utilities (including a John Deere backhoe) use the Red Brick Road exit from Gunshy Manor in order to access their equipment yard to the west.

The settlement explicitly requested that the Brick Road not be used during restoration, due to risks to the already fragile surface, and there is no reason except saving minimal time for Cascade Utilities to be using the Brick Road when there's another exit on Union Hill Road.

If Cascade Utilities and the Nelson Family can't adhere to even the simplest part of the settlement, how can we expect them to honor the rest of it?

Thank you.

---

**From:** Red Brick Road  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 24, 2017 2:56 PM  
**To:** Kimberly.claussen@kingcounty.gov; Pederson, Jon <Jon.Pederson@kingcounty.gov>; john.starbard@kingcounty.gov; Rave-Perkins.Krista@epa.gov; Casey.Costello@dfw.wa.gov; rebekah.padgett@ecy.wa.gov  
**Subject:** Gunshy Manor restoration issues

Dear King County DPER, US EPA, WA DFW, WA ECY:

Restoration has begin on the Gunshy Manor site, and our neighborhood has some issues to raise with how it's proceeding:

First, we believe the site owners are violating the settlement agreement by re-compacting soils after removal from the roads. They are operating a massive road machine rolling soil compactor behind the excavator which is removing the top layer of the loop road top layer. This should not be allowed on site, and is clearly a violation of the owner's agreement to restore the loop roads to their prior condition: by re-compacting what was previously compacted (without permit), they are essentially preserving their unpermitted roadwork. Does their permit allow them to re-compact? What kind of best practices for restoration allow recompacting of soil that was already compacted without authorization?

Second, we would like to understand how King County DPER is accounting for the quantity of asphalt grindings removed. We have receipts provided by the owners of Gunshy Manor that show that at least 480 tons (nearly 1 million pounds) of fill were brought in. It would be reasonable to expect that the owners will be able to account for how much material is removed as part of the restoration. Our neighborhood can observe some piles of material being dumped upland on the Gunshy Manor site. However, what happens when the pile does not exceed 20 tons? Is DPER monitoring this and measuring compliance?

Third, the site owners have failed to create any erosion barriers or runoff control system at the grading site. They have not deployed any kind of soil erosion control eco-fencing. The site plan clearly shows that the adjacent streams are fish bearing streams, but there is no obvious sign of any erosion barrier being deployed to prevent rain water runoff directly

into the stream adjacent. We believe this too is a violation of the settlement agreement, which aimed to preserve these streams.

In light of these issues, which given the history of the site could likely have been anticipated, our neighborhood would like to ask what specific action items DPER will perform to remedy their lack of oversight, and to correct issues such as the soil re-compaction that have already been performed by Cascade Utilities.

Thank you.